

Motion to expedite meeting of  
creditors

Thomas and Pamela Kindell, Case No. 10-63489-fra7

6/30/10

FRA

Unpublished

Debtors wrote to the trustee seeking to reschedule the July 26, 2010 meeting of creditors to a date prior to July 15. The reason given was that the Debtor would be conducting evangelistic meetings in several states from July 15 to September 21. The trustee responded that she did not feel the request fit within the guidelines to reschedule. Debtors then filed a motion for an order to "expedite" the meeting of creditors.

Caselaw provides that the bankruptcy court owes the trustee a high degree of deference and may review the trustee's decisions regarding the meeting of creditors only for abuse of the trustee's discretion. The court held that given the record before it, it could not find that the trustee abused her discretion in declining to schedule a meeting of creditors to a date prior to the currently scheduled, and noticed, meeting of creditors.

The court also found, however, that there was nothing in the record to suggest that the trustee or creditors would be prejudiced by continuing the meeting of creditors to a date soon after September 21. To the extent the trustee has refused to so schedule the meeting, the court found that the trustee had abused her discretion.

E10-5(5)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

10 In Re: ) Bankruptcy Case  
11 THOMAS J. KINDELL and ) No. 10-63489-fra7  
12 PAMELA S. KINDELL, )  
13 \_\_\_\_\_ ) MEMORANDUM OPINION  
Debtors. )

14 Debtors move for an order to "expedite" the currently scheduled  
15 meeting of creditors in this case. The motion requests expedited  
16 consideration, but does not request a hearing. For the reasons set out  
17 in this memorandum, the motion is denied.

18 Relying on the motion and supporting affidavit, and the Court's  
19 docket, the Court finds that Debtors filed their petition for relief  
20 under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on June 8, 2010. On June 9, 2010,  
21 the Court issued a written notice identifying the Chapter 7 Trustee, and  
22 notifying all parties that the meeting required by Code § 341(a) would be  
23 convened on July 26, 2010, at 1:30 p.m., at the U.S. Courthouse in  
24 Medford, Oregon.

25 // // //

26 // // //

1 On June 9, 2010, Debtors' counsel advised the Trustee:

2 Thomas Kindell will be out of state from July 15 to  
3 September 21 conducting Evangelistic meetings in  
4 Texas, Arizona and Southern California. These  
5 Evangelistic meetings were organized and scheduled  
6 several months ago. Due to Mr. Kindell's deep  
personal commitment to his faith, Mr. Kindell feels  
that he has an obligation to keep his word and be  
there to assist in coordinating and conducting the  
meetings that he helped plan.

7 Debtor's counsel goes on to request that the first meeting of  
8 creditors be scheduled to a date prior to July 15. The Trustee responded  
9 by e-mail, noting that she did not feel that the request fell within "the  
10 parameters for reasons to reschedule." She suggested that Debtors file a  
11 motion with the Court if they wished to have the § 341(a) hearing  
12 rescheduled.<sup>1</sup>

13 Code § 341 provides, in part:

14 (a) Within a reasonable time after the order for  
15 relief in a case under this title, the United States  
Trustee shall convene and preside at a meeting of  
creditors.

16 \* \* \*

17 (c) The court may not preside at, and may not attend,  
18 any meeting under this section including any final  
meeting of creditors....

19 The significance of these provisions was discussed by the  
20 Bankruptcy Appellate Panel for the Ninth Circuit in In re Clark, 262 B.R.  
21 508 (9th Cir. BAP 2001). In Clark the Panel held that a trustee was not  
22 required to obtain a court order to correct an administrative error

---

24 <sup>1</sup> The Court understands that the United States Trustee for Region 18 has a set policy regarding  
25 rescheduling meeting of creditors. However, the policy does not appear to be posted in any public place, and  
26 its precise terms are unknown to the Court. The Court suggests that the United States Trustee make his  
policy accessible, perhaps by posting on his office's website.

1 arising out of the 341(a) meeting.

2 Section 341(c) places firm restrictions on the role of  
3 bankruptcy courts in creditors' meetings. Requiring a  
4 court order to correct ministerial errors in the  
5 administration of those meetings takes the court  
6 beyond exercising its purely judicial functions and  
7 imposes administrative oversight on the court. Yet  
8 § 341(c) was enacted to remove "from the bankruptcy  
9 judge those administrative functions required under  
10 the previous Bankruptcy Act. It is clear therefore  
11 that the prohibition against judicial attendance was  
12 enacted to relieve the Bankruptcy Judge of an  
13 obligation incidental to an administrative function.

14 Clark at 518 (Internal citations omitted).

15 The Bankruptcy Court has jurisdiction to review decisions of  
16 the U.S. Trustee (or a panel trustee) with respect to continuances of  
17 341(a) meetings. See In re Gary W. Vance, 120 B.R. 181 (N.D. Ok. 1990)  
18 (applying the Administrative Procedures Act), In re Judy Lind-Vance, 176  
19 B.R. 772 (Bankr. W.D. Va. 1995) (viewing the 341(a) as a judicial  
20 proceeding inherently subject to the Bankruptcy Court's authority).  
21 Nevertheless, it is clear from the language of § 341(a) and the BAP's  
22 interpretation of that language in Clark that the Bankruptcy Court owes  
23 the Trustee a high degree of deference, and may review the Trustee's  
24 decisions regarding meetings of creditors only for abuse of the Trustee's  
25 discretion.

26 Based on the record before me, I cannot find that the Trustee  
has abused her discretion in declining to schedule a creditors' meeting  
prior to the currently scheduled date of July 26, 2010. The meeting was  
scheduled for Medford, a place "not regularly staffed by the United  
States Trustee or an assistant who may preside at the meeting." It  
follows that the meeting could be scheduled for anytime between the 20th

1 and 60th days after the order for relief. See Bankruptcy Rule 2003(a).  
2 The Debtors were on notice at the time they filed their petition of the  
3 window within which a hearing may be set. Moreover, since the July 26  
4 date was published and circulated to all interested parties, moving the  
5 hearing to an earlier date imposes an undue burden on the Trustee and the  
6 Clerk of the Court to notify interested parties, and creates a risk,  
7 unreasonable under the circumstances, of interested parties not being  
8 made aware in time of the new, earlier hearing date.

9 What remains to consider is whether the Trustee should have  
10 authorized a later date to accommodate Mr. Kindell's schedule. I find  
11 nothing on this record to suggest that the Trustee or creditors would be  
12 prejudiced by continuing the 341(a) hearing to a date soon after  
13 September 21, 2010, and to the extent the Trustee has refused to do so I  
14 believe she has abused her discretion. Accordingly, I will enter an  
15 order, to be lodged by counsel for the Debtors, that the matter be  
16 continued to the first scheduled date for Medford creditors' meetings  
17 after September 21, 2010.

18 The parties are cautioned that "the date first set" for the  
19 meeting of creditors remains unchanged, and that duties described in Code  
20 § 341 and elsewhere that must be performed by the date first set for the  
21 meeting of creditors must still be carried out by July 26.

22 // // //

23 // // //

24 // // //

25 // // //

1           The foregoing constitutes the Court's findings of fact and  
2 conclusions of law. As noted, counsel for the Debtors shall lodge a form  
3 of order consistent with the foregoing.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "F. Alley", written in a cursive style.

FRANK R. ALLEY, III  
Bankruptcy Judge